

**Who is responsible for Deaths and Sufferings from deadly Dengue Fever in Mangalore inspite of having Innovative Make in Mangalore device “MozziQuit”**

1. Please see letter sent by Principal Secretary of Animal Husbandry Harsha Gupta IAS refusing to provide MozziQuit to cow owners for silly reason that it is Patented Product though it is Indian Patent. Then what is the meaning of Make in Karnataka and Make in India instead of submitting request for Subsidy to Agricultural Department, Delhi under Rashtriya Krishi Vikasa Yojane as instructed by Minister of Animal Husbandry T.B. Jayachandra.
2. Refusal letter from Dr. Sukhvir Singh of NVBDC (National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme) giving reason that Expert Group have not recommended MozziQuit for use in National Programme who swindle huge amount in the name of chemical pesticides

Note: We have video available to release in TV/News Media for all Indians to know details of Enquiry conducted on 16/03/2018 by Dr. Akhilesh Gupta Scientist and Vigilance Officer in DST, Delhi but the culprit who denied support in time to MozziQuit Innovation of National Importance is still continuing as Secretary in Government Department without punishment.





ಟಿ.ಬಿ. ಜಯಚಂದ್ರ  
ಕಾನೂನು, ಸಂಸದೀಯ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳು,  
ಪಶುಸಂಗೋಪನಾ ಮತ್ತು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸಚಿವರು



ಮೇಲ್: lawministeroffice@gmail.com  
ದೂರವಾಣಿ : ಕಛೇರಿ : 22254661  
ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ : 22033439  
ಕೊಠಡಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 327, 3ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ  
ವಿಧಾನಸೌಧ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - 560 001


ಸಂ:ಕಾಪಮು:(ಟಿ): ೪೨೭ :2015

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 22.01.2015.

### ಟಿಪ್ಪಣಿ

ಸೊಳ್ಳೆ ಕಡಿತದಿಂದ ಹಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾರು ಮಾಡಿ ಆ ಮೂಲಕ ಹಾಲು ಉತ್ಪನ್ನವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಯೋಗಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಪಶು ಸಂಗೋಪನಾ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯದಿಂದ ಅಧಿಕೃತವಾಗಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿ, ದೃಢೀಕರಣ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದನದ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೊಳ್ಳೆಗಳನ್ನು ನಾಶ ಪಡಿಸಲು ತಯಾರಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಮೌಜಿಕ್ವಿಟ್ (Mozzi Quit) ಉಪಕರಣವನ್ನು ದನದ ಮಾಲಿಕರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಖರೀದಿಸಿ ವಿತರಿಸುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿ ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಲಿಯೋವಿನ್ ಸಲ್ಯೂಶನ್ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥಾಪಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಇಗ್ನಿಶಿಯಸ್ ಓರ್ವಿನ್ ನರೋನ್ವ, ಅವರು ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ.

ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ ಕೃಷಿ ವಿಕಾಸ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ, ಅದನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಗತಗೊಳಿಸುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಯಮಾನುಸಾರ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

  
(ಟಿ.ಬಿ. ಜಯಚಂದ್ರ)

### ಇವರಿಗೆ:-

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು,  
ಪಶು ಸಂಗೋಪನಾ ಮತ್ತು ಮೀನುಗಾರಿಕೆ ಇಲಾಖೆ,  
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಕಾಲು-ಬಾಯಿ ಪುರ, ಚಿನ್ನ ಬೇನೆ, ಗಂಟಲು ಬೇನೆ ರೋಗದಿಂದ ರಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ಲಸಿಕೆ ಹಾಕಿಸಿ : ಪಶು ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ - ಸರ್ವರ ಹಿತ

ಪಶು ಸಂಪತ್ತು - ದೇಶದ ಸಂಪತ್ತು : ಸಂರಕ್ಷಿಸಿ

ಇಳು:





Chief Minister Shri. Siddaramayya visits “MozziQuit” stall on 15/11/2014 at Palace Ground during 61<sup>st</sup> Sahakara Saptaha organized by KMF



Orwin Noronha explains about “MozziQuit” Mosquito Trap. Mr. P. Nagaraju, Chairman and Mr. Premnath, MD of KMF were present



Orwin Noronha shows more than 10 crores of trapped mosquitoes collected in PET Jar trapped in 1 month in cow shed by one MozziQuit MQ-MAX device of just 5 paise per day operating cost without use of any chemicals or refills or liquid or consumables or smoke or smell or ash





Orwin Noronha shows more than 10 crores of trapped mosquitoes collected in PET Jar trapped in 1 month in cow shed by one MozziQuit MQ-MAX device of just 5 paise per day operating cost without use of any chemicals or refills or liquid or consumables or smoke or smell or ash



Orwin Noronha shows MozziQuit MQ-MINI device



Orwin Noronha shows Gold Medal Award of DST-Lockheed Martin India Innovation Programme awarded for innovative MozziQuit Mosquito Trap






Orwin Noronha handing over MozzQuit MQ-MAX to Shri. T.B. Jayachandra, Minister for Animal Husbandry on 07/11/2014 at Committee Room No. 313 at Vidhana Soudha after presentation to 40 officials of Animal Husbandry depart. including Principal Secretary, Commissioner, Additional Directors, Managing Director of KMF etc.



Orwin Noronha handing over MozzQuit MQ-MINI to Shri. T.B. Jayachandra, Minister for Animal Husbandry on 07/11/2014 at Committee Room No. 313 at Vidhana Soudha after presentation to 40 officials of Animal Husbandry depart. Including Principal Secretary, Commissioner, Additional Directors, Managing Director of KMF etc.



Orwin Noronha handing over MozzQuit MQ-MAX to Shri. Qamarul Islam at his residence in October 2013







**5-28/2016/NVBDCP/VC/Mozziquit**  
Government of India  
National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme  
Directorate General of Health Services  
Ministry of Health & Family Welfare  
Podium Floor, Block No-III, DMRC Building  
Shastri Park, New Delhi-110053  
EPBAX No.22185974/47, Fax No.22185935  
Website: [www.nvbdcg.gov.in](http://www.nvbdcg.gov.in)



Dated: 12.04.2016

20 APR 2016

To,

Leowin Solutions Pvt Ltd  
Suvarna Building, 3-34(B)  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor & 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Right Wing  
Behind Express Stop  
Kuloor, Padukodi  
Mangalore-555013

**Subject: Inclusion of Mozzi Quit for control of Mosquitoes -reg**

Sir,

The above mentioned subject and your request was deliberated during the expert group meeting of NVBDCP held on 27.11.2014 where in it was recommended that the said product can be utilized by research organization for academic research and cannot be included in the programme.

Yours faithfully,

*Sukhvir Singh*  
12/4/2016

(Dr. Sukhvir Singh)  
Joint Director  
Tel.No.011-22185951

*o/c*

Received on  
3/5/16



**BY SPEED POST**  
**CONFIDENTIAL**

No. C-13011/01/2013-Vig.  
Government of India  
Ministry of Science & Technology  
Department of Science & Technology

Technology Bhawan  
New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi - 110016  
Dated the 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2018

To,  
Shri Ignatius Orwin Noronha,  
Managing Director,  
M/s. Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd.  
Door No.7-1-146/3(3),  
Behind 'Akshaya Patra',  
Opp. St. Josephs Art Printers,  
Sultan Battery Road,  
Near Urwa Market,  
Mangalore - 5750006

Sub: Complaint from Shri Ignatius Orwin Noronha, alleging irregularities against officials of the Technology Development Board.

Sir,

Kindly refer to your email dt. 22.02.2018 on the subject cited above. The same is replied to as under:

- i) You may meet the undersigned for a face-to-face discussion and recording of statement etc. **either on the 9<sup>th</sup> or the 16<sup>th</sup> of March, 2018 at 3 P.M.** You may report directly to my office at Room No.16B S&T Block-I, Technology Bhawan, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi - 110016.
- ii) There is no objection in taking photocopies of relevant documents provided by you in support of the investigation.
- iii) The discussion will take place based on the averments made by you earlier in your personal capacity. Therefore the presence of another person with you is neither necessitated nor will be allowed during the discussion, which will be of a purely confidential nature. The discussion will be between you and me and there may be a couple of other vigilance officials present in the room for providing ancillary support.
- iv) As already intimated earlier by our letter of even number dt. 18.12.2017, a video recording of our interaction will be arranged and a copy provided to you.
- v) Air tickets of Air India (Economy Class) will have to be purchased by you as intimated in our letter of even number dt. 13.02.2018. This Department will reimburse the expenditure incurred by you strictly within the terms prescribed in our letter of even number dt.27.11.2017.

2 Kindly confirm the date of meeting to the undersigned immediately.

Yours faithfully,

(Akhilesh Gupta)  
CVO & Scientist 'G'  
Tel:011-26862512

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
11/3/18

भारत सरकार

**प्रौद्योगिकी विकास बोर्ड**

विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग  
विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय

खण्ड-क, भू-तल विश्वकर्मा भवन, शहीद जैत सिंह मार्ग  
नई दिल्ली - 110016

GOVT. OF INDIA

**TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT BOARD**

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY  
MINISTRY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

WING-A, GROUND FLOOR, VISHWAKARMA BHAWAN, SHAHEED JEET SINGH MARG  
NEW DELHI- 110016

F.No. TDB/F-69/11-12

8<sup>th</sup> October, 2012

To,

**Shri Ignatius Orwin Noronha**  
Managing Director  
M/s Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd.,  
406, Mannagudda Towers,  
Mannagudda,  
Mangalore- 575003

**Subject: Project proposal on "Development and commercialization of Innovative and Awards winning "Mozziquite" Mosquito Trap to eradicate various mosquito borne diseases" submitted by M/s Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mangalore**

**Dear Mr. Noronha,**

At the outset, let me thank you for your interest in TDB programmes and approaching us for financial assistance.

This is to inform you that your project was considered by High Level Expert Committee constituted by Chairperson, TDB at Hyderabad on 30<sup>th</sup> August, 2012 and the committee observed the following:-

1. Principal of operation not clear
2. Although concept is good, but product requires further validation
3. Debt : Equity ratio not within TDB norms
4. Recommended for re-submission after completion of validation and infusion of fresh equity to reduce debt : equity ratio of prototype

In view of above, the Committee did not find your proposal suitable for TDB's support at this stage. The company may approach TDB in future after validation of the product and infusion of fresh equity so as to make the proposal in accordance with TDB norm of Debt: Equity ratio of 1:1.

Kindly note that revised proposal will be treated as a fresh proposal. The copies of the project proposal available with TDB are returned herewith.

With my best regards,

*Encl: as above*

Yours sincerely,

  
(Harkesh Mittal)  
Secretary

Telephone: (Direct) : 26537349/26540100

Fax : (91) 011-26531862 Website : www.tdb.gov.in

The Real Facts on how Secretary rejected our application for not giving bribe were:

1. All Expert Committee Members were over drunk having hang over next day morning as expensive Alcohol/Liquor i.e. Johnny Walker etc was supplied as seen in enclosed Hotel Bills
2. Comment No. 1 Principal of Operation not clear – Though it was provided could be understood by 5<sup>th</sup> std students. They could have asked me to repeat so that I could have made them to understand the principle of operation.
3. Comment No. 2 – I had enclosed Validation Certificate from NIMR top authority to certify any trap/product to eliminate mosquito population to eradicate mosquito borne diseases



4. They themselves do not know what is the Debt:Equity Ratio of TDB. See also comments sheet. This letter states 1:1, Experts states 1:1.2 and TDB Website states 1:1.5 which is my submission.
5. Same drunkard High Lever Expert Committee Members approves instantly Rs. 10 crore to Jyothi Laboratories for chemical based mosquito repellent rejecting our request of Rs. 2.40 cr. for mosquito trapping product.
6. Within 18 days of approval of Rs. 10 crore funding by Expert Committee members, Secretary purchases brand new BMW Car costing Rs. 26 lakhs
7. Rs. 38.70 lakhs wasted for screening of projects at Mumbai and Hyderabad


<p>भारत सरकार प्रौद्योगिकी विकास बोर्ड विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय खण्ड-क, मू-तल विश्वकर्मा भवन, शहीद जेत सिंह मार्ग नई दिल्ली - 110016</p>	<p>GOVT OF INDIA TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT BOARD DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE &amp; TECHNOLOGY MINISTRY OF SCIENCE &amp; TECHNOLOGY WING-A, GROUND FLOOR, VISHWAKARMA BHAWAN, SHAHEED JEET SINGH MARG NEW DELHI- 110016</p>	
<p>To, <span style="float: right;">Dated: 05.11.2012</span></p> <p><b>Shri Ignatius Orwin Noronha</b> Managing Director M/s Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mangalore 406, Mannagudda Towers, Mannagudda, Mangalore – 575 003</p>		
<p><b>Subject: Application under RTI Act 2005</b></p>		
<p>Sir,</p> <p>This has reference to your letter dated 08.10.2012 (received in the office on 11.08.2012) asking for information under RTI Act 2005.</p> <p>In this connection, this is to inform you that your request has been considered by the competent authority and the point-wise reply is being furnished to you by TDB:</p>		
<b>Points</b>	<b>Issue raised by the applicant</b>	<b>TDB reply</b>
1.	Provide me the Name of the officials in TDB who have listed my project under Chemical instead of listing under Healthcare category.	A committee of officers which included all Project Coordinators and Director (F) reviewed all the projects and classified them in one or other category and that also included your project.
2.	Provide me the reasons to consider my project under Chemical category instead of Healthcare category.	The committee felt that since your device uses CO2 to lure mosquitos, it should be kept in category Chemical (as continuous source of CO2 in the device is required) for which Chemical process of some sort be required.
3.	Provide me the list of Projects under the category Chemicals.	Details will be provided on receipt of your payment.
4.	Provide me the list of Projects under the category Healthcare.	
5.	Provide me the Name, address and Profile of all the Experts who were under Chemicals category.	
6.	Provide me the Name, address and Profile of all the Experts who were under Healthcare category.	
7.	Provide me the Report of the Expert Committee on my presentation including the questions as recorded by the expert committee.	
8.	Provide me the list of all applications presented on 30 <sup>th</sup> – 31 <sup>st</sup> August, 2012 including category wise details, details of expert committee report on each presentation.	
<p>Telephone: (Direct) : 26537349/26540100 Fax: (91) 011-26531862 Website : www.tdb.gov.in</p>		

9.	The total expenditure incurred by TDB for organizing and completion of experts committee meeting on 30 <sup>th</sup> – 31 <sup>st</sup> August, 2012 and copies of Air and five star hotel bills of subject & financial experts and TDB staff.	Total expenditure is ₹. 10,35,914/- (includes all expenses). <b>Details will be provided on receipt of your payment.</b>
10.	The total expenditure incurred by TDB for organizing and completion of experts committee meeting on 23 <sup>rd</sup> – 24 <sup>th</sup> January, 2012 at Mumbai and copies of Air and five star hotel bills of subject & financial experts and TDB staff.	Total expenditure is ₹. 28,35,900/- (includes all expenses). <b>Details will be provided on receipt of your payment.</b>
11.	Provide the list of TDB staff with their designations, date of arrival for the meeting and date of departure by Air, their hotel bills, local transport and entitlements for Air and five star hotel stay for both the expert committee meeting held on 23 <sup>rd</sup> – 24 <sup>th</sup> January, 2012 at Mumbai and meeting held on 30 <sup>th</sup> – 31 <sup>st</sup> August, 2012 at Hyderabad.	<b>Details will be provided on receipt of your payment.</b>  Details of expenditure are as per Para no. 9 & 10.
12.	Details of foreign tours made by all the officials of TDB from 01.01.2010 including Dr. T. Ramasami till date along with the details of expenditure incurred by each official of TDB.	<b>Details will be provided on receipt of your payment.</b>
13.	The approvals from the DG, Civil Aviation for Private Airlines whereas the Govt. of India money is involved travel should be performed in Air India only.	Private Airlines have been used only when ticket are either not available in Air India or destination not covered by Air India.  Since Technology Development Board is an Autonomous organization under Department of Science and Technology, permission of Secretary, TDB is taken in all the cases as he is the Chief Executive Officer of TDB as per TDB Rules 1996.
14.	The reasons from not following the constitution of TDB to regularly review the project proposal by internal and external Committees in normal mode by visiting project site as per the guidelines but reviewing at a time 40-50 projects by giving just 20 minutes only to the applicant by the expert committee members who do not have capacity to understand the innovative part of the project within such limited 20 minutes time whereas at site whole day time was given by the constitution of TDB.	TDB is empowered to make its own rules as per TDB Act 1995 and TDB Rules 1996.  In accordance with the rules Chairperson, TDB approved new process of evaluation in November / December, 2011 which was also approved by and the TDB Board The new process of evaluation was introduced to expedite evaluation process and to reach out to innovators and entrepreneurs at much faster speed.
15.	Where and when was last meeting held and the number of projects reviewed, sanctioned, the expenditure incurred to organize the meeting,	So far, only two meetings took place under the new evaluation process. The first one in Mumbai during 23-24 January,



	<p>status of the sanctions projects, amount release date and reasons for the rejected projects and violating Govt. procedures by favoring a single agency for due diligence and give bill of payments.</p>	<p>2012 in which the total of 48 cases were evaluated out of which 26 cases were selected for carrying out Due-diligence. The second meeting under the new process was held at Hyderabad during 30-31 August, 2012 in which 37 cases were evaluated out of which 18 cases were selected for carrying out Due-diligence. <b>Details of Expenditure is as per Para 9 &amp; 10.</b></p>
<p>16.</p>	<p>The time frame for normal process of projects as per TDB guidelines whereas I applied in December, 2011 and reviewed by end of August, 2012 after nine months, the reasons for such delay and time lines under the present process.</p>	<p>As per TDB's past experience <u>most of the</u> projects requiring TDB's assistance of less than five crores were processed between 5-8 month time; projects of value between 5- 10 crores of TDB's assistance were processed between 6-10 months and projects involving TDB's assistance more than 10 crores were processed between 10-12 months.</p> <p>The present process of evaluation aims to cut down the processing time.</p> <p>Your application was received in December last which could not be taken in the meeting held in Mumbai and you were also informed of this upon your telephonic enquiry then and the same could only be evaluated in the second round of meeting which as informed took place at Hyderabad during 30-31 August, 2012.</p>

Further, for the information/documents required by you which numbers 194 pages, you are requested to pay an amount of ₹ 388/- (Rupees Three hundred eighty eight only), towards expenditure of photocopy of the documents, in the form of cash/cheque in favor of "Technology Development Board". On receipt of your payment the documents will be provided to you.

Yours faithfully,  
  
**(G Ravi Kumar)**  
**Section Officer**

भारत सरकार

**प्रौद्योगिकी विकास बोर्ड**

विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग  
विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय

खण्ड-क, भू-तल विश्वकर्मा भवन, शहीद जीत सिंह मार्ग  
नई दिल्ली - 110016

GOVT. OF INDIA

**TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT BOARD**

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY  
MINISTRY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

WING-A, GROUND FLOOR, VISHWAKARMA BHAWAN, SHAHEED JEET SINGH MARG  
NEW DELHI- 110016

To,

Dated: 07.12.2012

**Shri Ignatius Orwin Noronha**  
Managing Director  
M/s Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mangalore  
406, Mannagudda Towers, Mannagudda,  
Mangalore – 575 003

**Subject: Application under RTI Act 2005**

Sir,

This has reference to your letter dated 08.10.2012 (received in the office on 11.08.2012) and 17.11.2012 (received in the office on 7.12.2012).

Further, this is to inform you that in reply to our letter dated 05.11.2012, we received a Demand Draft bearing no. 906716 dated 17.11.2012 for an amount of ₹. 388.00 and in response we are sending the required information asked by you under Right to Information Act 2005 as below:.

Sl. No.	Information on
1.	Reply to Point No. 3
2.	Reply to Point No. 4
3.	Reply to Point No. 5
4.	Reply to Point No. 6
5.	Reply to Point No. 7
6.	Reply to Point No. 8
7.	Reply to Point No. 9
8.	Reply to Point No. 10
9.	Reply to Point No. 11
10.	Reply to Point No. 12

You are requested to acknowledge the receipt of the above documents.

Yours faithfully,

*Encl.: as above.*

  
(Niraj Kela)  
Director

Telephone: (Direct) : 26537349/26540100

Fax : (91) 011-26531862 Website : www.tdb.gov.in



# **Reply to Point No. 10**

**Details of Expenditure at Mumbai**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> – 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2012**

Nirankar Saxena  
Director

TDB- Mumbai National Evaluation Committee  
(23<sup>rd</sup>- 24<sup>th</sup> January 2012, The Intercontinental LALIT, Mumbai)  
The Composite Event Management Cost for Mumbai

Dir.  
7/3/12

7/3/12

AS/AO  
[Signature]

Dated: 24<sup>th</sup> February 2012

Dr. Anil  
Mittal

Subject: FICCI Expenditure i.e-INR 22,50,000/- Incurred on the arranging the "TDB NATIONAL EXPERTS EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING, MUMBAI on 23<sup>rd</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> January 2012".

श्री. मि. मि. / TOP  
दि. 06/03/12  
क्र.सं./Dy. no. 984

Dear Mr.Mittal,

This is with reference to the TDB National Experts Evaluation Committee Meeting, Mumbai; conducted at hotel The Intercontinental Lalit-Mumbai on 23<sup>rd</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> January 2012. The Composite Event Management Cost for Mumbai incurred by FICCI stands INR 22,50,000/- (Twenty Two Lakhs Fifty thousand only).

This is to request you to approve of the realization of the subject amount to FICCI.

Yours Sincerely

Nirankar Saxena



TDB- Mumbai National Evaluation Committee

(23<sup>rd</sup> - 24<sup>th</sup> January 2012, The Intercontinental LALIT, Mumbai)

The Composite Event Management Cost of Mumbai, by FICCI

Order.	Expenditure Incurred under	Accommodation Bill & Food Bill (Rs.)	Logistics (Rs.)- Sound/Backdr op and other arrangements.	Car(s) Hired (Rs.)	Air Fare (Rs.)	Total (Rs.)
A.	TDB Expenditure incurred by FICCI (Rs.)	1,071,443.59*	1,11,955*	2 cars for the entire duration (22 <sup>nd</sup> Jan- 24 <sup>th</sup> Jan) exclusively for the officials -billing Rs.17,291.24*	-	1200689.83
B.	FICCI Expenditure (Rs.) for managing the TDB meeting.	19,312*	NA (as above)	1 car hired for the entire duration (from 21 <sup>st</sup> Jan to 25 <sup>th</sup> Jan 2012) -billing Rs.11,570.29*	12,534.88*	43417.17
C.	Miscl. Expenditure	2,50,000				2,50,000
D.	FICCI Cost	7,55,893				7,55,893
	TOTAL (Rs.) Approx	Twenty Two Lakhs Fifty Thousand Rupees Only.				22,50,000 (A+B+C+D)

\*Bills Presented Already.



(A) 145

**INTERCONTINENTAL**  
THE LALIT MUMBAI

February 2, 2012

FICCI  
FEDERATION HOUSE,  
1, TANSEN MARG,  
NEW DELHI - 110 001  
TEL : 11-23311720  
MOB : 9810 196034

Kind Attn :-MR. PANKAJ SINGH

**SUB : ACCOMMODATION & FOOD BILL**

We have pleasure in enclosing herewith our following Bill/s for the function held by you in our hotel.

Bill No.	Date	Particular	Amount
10001	25.01.12	Accommodation & Incidental Charges as per attache list	696,132.59
357260	25.01.12	FOOD CHARGES	375,311.00
<b>TOTAL BALANCE</b>			<b>1,071,443.59</b>

(Rupees Ten Lakh Seventy One Thousand Four Hundred Forty Three and paisa Fifty Nine Only)

We shall be glad if you will consider this bill and release the payment immediately on receipt of the bills.

Thanking you and assuring you of our best attention at all times.

Yours faithfully,

For, **INTERCONTINENTAL THE LALIT, MUMBAI**


  
Makarand Oak  
Credit Manager

Encl : a. a.

PLEASE ISSUE THE CHEQUE IN FAVOUR OF "INTERCONTINENTAL THE LALIT - MUMBAI"

Pan No : **AAACB1298E** Service Tax Registration No : **AAACB1298EST002**

VAT TIN : **27640000372V w.e.f.01/04/2006** CST TIN : **27640000372C w.e.f.01/04/2006**

  
Sahar Airport Road, Andheri (E), Mumbai - 400 059, India  
Tel: +91 22 6699 2222 Fax: +91 22 6699 8888 Toll Free No: 1800111000  
mumbai@thelalit.com www.intercontinental.com



भारत सरकार

विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग

विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय

खण्ड-क, भू-तल विश्वकर्मा भवन, शाहीद जीत सिंह मार्ग  
नई दिल्ली - 110016

GOVT. OF INDIA

TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT BOARD

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

MINISTRY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

WING-A, GROUND FLOOR, VISHWAKARMA BHAWAN, SHAHEED JEET SINGH MARG  
NEW DELHI - 110016

F. No TDB/Fin. 2(1)(2)/2010-11

Dated: 30.03.2012

To,

Shri Nirankar Saxena  
Director, FICCI,  
Federation House, Tansen Marg,  
New Delhi - 110 001  
Ph.No.- 23738760

Subject: Forwarding of cheque


Ref.: Your letter dated 24.02.2012

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a Cheque No. 933794 dated 30.03.2012 of ₹ 22,05,000/- (Rupees twenty two lakh & five thousand only) in favour of 'FICCI, New Delhi' towards the event management related work arranged for TDB experts committee meeting to consider the pending project proposals of TDB held on 23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 at Mumbai. The amount of ₹ 22,05,000/- has been arrived at after deducting Tax at source as per Income Tax Act. The details of the amount are as under:-

Total bill amount	= ₹	22,50,000/-
Less: TDS @ 2%	= ₹	45,000/-
<b>Net Payable</b>	<b>= ₹</b>	<b>22,05,000/-</b>

Yours faithfully,

  
(D.N. Tyagi) 30.3.12  
Account officer

Encl.: As above

Biren Jena

8447027172

Telephone: (Direct) : 26537349/26540100

Fax : (91) 011-26531862 Website : www.tdb.gov.in

Mr Nirankar Saxena

(A)



To,  
FICCI,  
Federation house,  
Tansen marg,  
New Delhi-110001,

Date: 01/02/2012

Bill No: IRE/1023 11-12

Att: Rakesh Swami / Vikas.

Description	Amount
Being Cost of your Event Held at The Intercontinental the Lalit From 23 <sup>th</sup> & 24 <sup>th</sup> Jan 2012	1,01,500.00 ✓
<u>Ms Swami</u>	
	1,01,500.00 ✓
Add: @ 10.00 % Service Tax	10,150.00 ✓
Add: @ 0.30 % Education Cess	305.00 ✓
S. T. No.: <u>ST/MUM/DN.H/EVTM/226/07</u>	
PAN No.: <u>AESPB6214R</u>	
<b>Rs. One Lakh Eleven Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Five Only.</b>	1,11,955.00 ✓

I trust you will find the above in order.

Regards  
For i ROCK ENTERTAINMENT

Prop. / Auth. Signatory

Work Done  
VIKAS  
08/2/12



# **Reply to Point No. 9**

**Details of Expenditure at Hyderabad,**  
**30<sup>th</sup> – 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2012**



Mr. Daniel George  
Confederation Of Indian Industry  
Plot No - 7, 2nd Floor Regal House  
Motial Nehru Nagar, Begumpet  
Hyderabad 500 016

45  
**GMR Hotels and Resorts Limited**  
Novotel Hyderabad Airport, Rajiv Gandhi International Airport  
Shamshabad, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad - 500 409, India  
Ph : +91 40 66250000, Fax : +91 40 66250001

Date: 05/09/2012  
A/R No.: COCO13  
Invoice No: NHA/2012/152

Dear Sir/Madam

Greetings from Novotel Hyderabad Airport!!

We have pleasure in submitting the following bill along with supportings:

Date	Folio No.	Particulars	Amount
01.09.2012		Conference Charges	680,610.07
<b>Total Amount Due</b>			<b>680,610.07</b>

I will be obliged if you kindly organise the payment upon the receipt of the invoice.

1. Please note that interest at 15% p.a is liable to be charged on bills not paid within the due date.
2. In case of any queries please intimate us within 7 days.
3. Please ensure the settlement within the credit period to maintain high credit rating.

Cheques / DD should be drawn in favour of **GHIAL-NOVOTEL HYDERABAD AIRPORT.**

Thanking you and assuring the best services at all times.

Yours Truly,  
For Novotel Hyderabad Airport,

  
Sreekanth Naidu  
Asst Credit Manager  
+(91) 9703220133

PAN No.: AABCH 3448M TIN No.: 28660155631 SVT No.: AABCH 3448MST001





HYDERABAD AIRPORT

291371

NOVOTEL HYDERABAD AIRPORT  
Rajiv Gandhi International Airport, Shamshabad,  
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad-500 409, India.  
Tel: +91 (40) 6625 0000  
Fax: +91 (40) 6625 0001

www.novotel.com  
www.novotelhyderabadairport.com

THE SQUARE

29 LONESH

Tbl 85/1 Chk 2849 Gst 25

29Aug'12 21:46

\*\*\* Reprint \*\*\*

CII DINNER BUFFET  
25 OPEN FOOD 26250.00

1 Ev BLAK DOG 12 Y 4000.00

1 Ev OLD HONK RUM 1000.00

1 Ev SATORI MERLOT 2100.00

7 Ev K.F. BEER 330 980.00

5 Ev SODA 250.00

1 Ev RUP 2 Ltrs 250.00

2 Ev PEPSI 2 Ltrs 500.00

2 Ev DIET PEPSI 180.00

6 Ev JUICES 1 LTR 1050.00

2 Ev J/N BLACK LMA 24000.00

Sub Total 60560.00

VAT 14.5% 429.60

Srv-Tax5.12 3100.67

See Continuation

Guest Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Room No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

(A Unit of GMR Hotels and Resorts Limited)  
Service Tax No. AADCC2928F50001

PAN No AADCC2928F

TIN No. 288A3169285



HYDERABAD AIRPORT

291349

NOVOTEL HYDERABAD AIRPORT  
Rajiv Gandhi International Airport, Shamshabad,  
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad-500 409, India.  
Tel: +91 (40) 6625 0000  
Fax: +91 (40) 6625 0001

www.novotel.com  
www.novotelhyderabadairport.com

THE SQUARE

18 RANAKANT

Tbl 12/1 Chk 2794 Gst 12

29Aug'12 14:07

CII LUNCH  
12 OPEN FOOD 9600.00

Food 9600.00

VAT 14.5% 1392.00

Srv-Tax5.12 491.52

Srv Chg 3.5% 336.00

14:07 Total Due 11819.52

9015/CII GROUP

Room Charge 11819.52

Food 9600.00

VAT 14.5% 1392.00

Srv-Tax5.12 491.52

Srv Chg 3.5% 336.00

Payment 11819.52

18 Check Closed

29Aug'12 14:09

Guest Name: MIRAS KEJA  
Room No.: 540  
Signature: [Signature]

(A Unit of GMR Hotels and Resorts Limited)  
Service Tax No. AADCC2928F50001

PAN No AADCC2928F

TIN No. 288A3169285



HYDERABAD AIRPORT

288478

NOVOTEL HYDERABAD AIRPORT  
Rajiv Gandhi International Airport, Shamshabad,  
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad-500 409, India.  
Tel: +91 (00) 6625 0000  
Fax: +91 (00) 6625 0001  
www.novotel.com

www.novotel.com  
www.novotelhyderabadairport.com

THE SQUARE

18 RAMKRANT Page 2

Tbl 1/2 Chk 2970 Gst 30  
30Aug'12 22:39

22:42 Total Due 74928.13

9015/CII GROUP  
Room Charge 74928.13  
Food 31500.00  
Soft Bev 1250.00  
Liqor 31860.00  
VAT 14.5% 4748.75  
Srv-Tax5.12 3308.03  
Svr Chg 3.5% 2261.35  
Payment 74928.13

16 Check Closed  
30Aug'12 23:16

Guest Name:  
Room No.:  
Signature:

NIRAJ KELA

*[Signature]*

(A Unit of GMR Hotels and Resorts Limited)  
TIN No. 28863169285  
Service Tax No. AADCG2928FSD001  
PAN No. AAGCG228F



HYDERABAD AIRPORT

288477

NOVOTEL HYDERABAD AIRPORT  
Rajiv Gandhi International Airport, Shamshabad,  
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad-500 409, India.  
Tel: +91 (00) 6625 0000  
Fax: +91 (00) 6625 0001  
www.novotel.com

www.novotel.com  
www.novotelhyderabadairport.com

THE SQUARE

18 RAMKRANT

Tbl 1/2 Chk 2970 Gst 30  
30Aug'12 22:39

CII DINNER 31500.00  
30 OPEN FOOD 24000.00

2 Ev 7/11 BLACK LBL 1600.00  
1 Ev BLNDRS PRIDE 4200.00  
2 Ev SATORI MERLOT 800.00  
9 Ev I.F. BEER 330 700.00  
5 Ev CARLSBERG 330 250.00  
4 Ev JUICES 1 LTR 300.00  
1 Ev PEPSI 2 Ltrs 300.00  
6 Ev SODA

Food 31500.00  
Soft Bev 1250.00  
Liqor 31860.00  
VAT 14.5% 4748.75  
Srv-Tax5.12 3308.03  
Svr Chg 3.5% 2261.35

----- See Continuation -----

Guest Name:  
Room No.:  
Signature:

(A Unit of GMR Hotels and Resorts Limited)  
TIN No. 28863169285  
Service Tax No. AADCG2928FSD001  
PAN No. AADCG228F



DATE 30.8.2012 **BANQUET BEVERAGE CONTROL SHEET**

Event No: CTI  
 Unit: (D) BAR

To: SQUARE  
 Approved By: [Signature]  
 Banquet Manager

Sl. No.	Brand Name	Unit	Quantity	Price	Total
1	K.S. 330	btl	14	05	09
2	carlsberg 330	"	14	09	05
3	No Black label	"	02	-	02
4	Blenders Pride	"	01	-	01
5	Sula sekori merlot	"	02	-	02
6	PEPSI 2LTRS	Pet	02	01	01
7	SODA	3tl	15	09	06
8	Asst Juice	Pkt.	24	21	03

ISSUED AND DELIVERED BY: Rana Name: [Signature] Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
 RECEIVED BY: Lombard Name: [Signature] Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
 RECORDED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



HYDERABAD AIRPORT

292010

NOVOTEL HYDERABAD AIRPORT

Rajiv Gandhi International Airport, Shamshabad,  
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad-500 409, India.  
Tel: +91 (40) 6625 0000  
Fax: +91 (40) 6625 0001

www.novotel.com  
www.novotelhyderabadairport.com

(A Unit of GMR Hotels and Resorts Limited)

Guest Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Room No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

THE SQUARE

10 SARTHOSH

Tbl 41/1 Chk 3066 8st 30  
31Aug'12 22:25

CIT DINNER	31500.00
30 OPEN FOOD	1120.00
8 Ev K.F. BEER 330	24000.00
2 Ev J/W BLACK LBL	250.00
1 Ev PEPSI 2 Ltrs	250.00
1 Ev 7UP 2 Ltrs	1750.00
10 Ev JUICES 1 LTR	400.00
8 Ev SODA	4200.00
2 Ev SATORI MERLOT	31500.00
Food	2650.00
Soft Bev	29320.00
Liquor	4951.75
VAT 14.5%	3249.66
Srv Tax 5.12	2221.45
Svr Chg 3.5%	
22:28 Totals Inc	73892.86

NOVOTEL HYDERABAD AIRPORT  
Rajiv Gandhi International Airport, Shamshabad,  
Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad-500 409, India.  
Tel: +91 (40) 6625 0000  
Fax: +91 (40) 6625 0001

www.novotel.com  
www.novotelhyderabadairport.com

291806



HYDERABAD AIRPORT

9015/CII GROUP

See Continuation

Guest Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Room No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: NIRAJ KELA

(A Unit of GMR Hotels and Resorts Limited)

TIN No. 28663169285  
Service Tax No. AADCG298FSD001  
PAN No. AADCG2928F



135



### Novotel Hyderabad Airport

Rajiv Gandhi International Airport  
Shamshabad, Hyderabad  
Tel : +91 40 66250000  
Fax: +91 40 66250001  
www.novotel.com/asia

Novotel Hyderabad Airport, 19/08/12 12:48:05

#### INFORMATION Bill Only

Mr. Niraj  
Wing A G F Viswakarma Bhawan  
Shaeed Jeet Singh Marg  
New Delhi 110016

Folio No. : 14204  
Room No. : 480  
Adult/Child : 1 / 0  
Room Rate : Rs. 6000  
A/Club No :  
Arrival : 18/08/12 15:32  
Departure : 19/08/12 14:00

Travel Agent Name:  
Company Name: Technology Developmant Board  
Guest Name: Kela

Page : 1  
Cashier : 6 FOSIRISHA  
FF No. : /

Bill Instruction : GUEST ACCOUNT/SEE TRACE  
Pan No.:

DATE	DESCRIPTION	VOUCHER	CHARGE	PAYMENT
18/08	The Bar - Liquor #480 : CHECK #4628	4628	4,125.39	
18/08	Room Charge		6,000.00	
18/08	Luxury Tax		325.00	
18/08	Service Charges-Room		210.00	
18/08	Service Tax - Rooms		460.80	
19/08	Moong Dal		74.00	
19/08	Cashewnuts		197.00	

All prices subject to 3.5% service charge and prevailing government taxes.

Balance Due: 11,392.19

I agree that I am personally liable for the payment of the following statement and if the person, company or association indicated by me as being responsible for payment of the same does not do so, that my liability for such payment shall be joint and several with such person, company or association.	Guest Signature: 
---	----------------------

PAN No.:- AADCG2928F  
TIN No.:- 28863169285  
SVT No.:- AADCG2928FSD001





भारत सरकार

**प्रौद्योगिकी विकास बोर्ड**

विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग  
विज्ञान एवं प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय

खण्ड-क, भू-तल विश्वकर्मा भवन, शहीद जीत सिंह मार्ग  
नई दिल्ली - 110016

GOVT. OF INDIA

**TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT BOARD**

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY  
MINISTRY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

WING-A, GROUND FLOOR, VISHWAKARMA BHAWAN, SHAHEED JEET SINGH MARG  
NEW DELHI- 110016

To,

Dated: 07.12.2012

**Shri Ignatius Orwin Noronha**  
Managing Director  
M/s Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mangalore  
406, Mannagudda Towers, Mannagudda,  
Mangalore – 575 003

**Subject: Application under RTI Act 2005**

Sir,

This has reference to your letter dated 08.10.2012 (received in the office on 11.08.2012) and 17.11.2012 (received in the office on 7.12.2012).

Further, this is to inform you that in reply to our letter dated 05.11.2012, we received a Demand Draft bearing no. 906716 dated 17.11.2012 for an amount of ₹. 388.00 and in response we are sending the required information asked by you under Right to Information Act 2005 as below:.

Sl. No.	Information on
1.	Reply to Point No. 3
2.	Reply to Point No. 4
3.	Reply to Point No. 5
4.	Reply to Point No. 6
5.	Reply to Point No. 7
6.	Reply to Point No. 8
7.	Reply to Point No. 9
8.	Reply to Point No. 10
9.	Reply to Point No. 11
10.	Reply to Point No. 12

You are requested to acknowledge the receipt of the above documents.

Yours faithfully,

*Encl.: as above.*

  
(Niraj Kela)  
Director

Telephone: (Direct) : 26537349/26540100

Fax : (91) 011-26531862 Website : www.tdb.gov.in

**y to point No. 5 - Profile of experts under Chemical category:**

<b>Chemical/Energy and Waste</b>	
<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name and contact of the expert(s)</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Dr. S. Sivaram</b> CSIR Bhatnagar Fellow National Chemical Laboratory Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, <b><u>Pune - 411008</u></b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Dr. D. Yogeswara Rao</b> Adviser Office of the Principal Scientific Advisor 318, Vigyan Bhavan Annexe, Maulana Azad Road, <b><u>New Delhi-110011</u></b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Shri V.S.R Murthy,</b> <b>Group Director,</b> Sujana Group of Companies, C/o M Anuradha, 221/1, Mansarovar Heights II, Manovikas Nagar Post, Near Leela Garden Function Hall, Trimulgery, <b><u>Secunderabad – 500 009</u></b>



**Reply to point No. 6 - Profile of experts under Healthcare category:**

<b>Agriculture/ Healthcare</b>	
<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name and contact of the expert(s)</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Dr. G. N. Qazi</b> Vice – Chancellor, Jamia Hamdard, Hamdard Nagar, <b><u>New Delhi – 110 062</u></b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Prof. D. Balasubramanian</b> <b>Director of Research</b> L V Prasad Eye Institute (LVPEI) Kallam Anji Reddy Campus, L V Prasad Marg, <b><u>Hyderabad – 500034</u></b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Shri S.P. Aggarwal</b> Former General Manager Bank of Baroda, 2/14, Sarvapriya Vihar, <b><u>New Delhi – 110 016</u></b>

## **Reply to Point No. 8 - List of all applications (sector-wise):**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Company Name</b>
<b>ENGINEERING/ELECTRONICS</b>	
1.	M/s Zeus Numerix Pvt. Ltd., Pune
2.	M/s. Ampere Vehicles Private Limited, Coimbatore
3.	M/s. Zen Technologies Limited, Hyderabad
4.	M/s. Ayk Pay24 Systems Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi
5.	M/s. IdeaForge Technology Pvt. Ltd., Navi Mumbai
6.	M/s. Notion Ink Design Labs Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore
7.	M/s. Robonik (India) Pvt. Ltd., Navi Mumbai
8.	M/s. Sri Vari Metal Works Pvt. Ltd., Hosur
9.	M/s Vizzitec Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Coimbatore
10.	M/s Prasad NC Machine Systems (P) Ltd, Chennai
11.	M/s HAL-Edgewood Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore
<b>AGRICULTURE / HEALTHCARE</b>	
12.	M/s Zorally Solutions Private Limited, Hyderabad
13.	M/s Microbax (India) Ltd., Hyderabad
14.	M/s Krishna Foods & Beverages Industries, Noida
15.	M/s Hyderabad Institute of Oncology, Hyderabad
16.	M/s. AXIO Biosolutions Private Ltd., Ahmadabad
17.	M/s. Mir Lifescience Private Ltd., Bangalore
<b>IT/TELECOM</b>	
18.	M/s Diaonics Automation (I) P. Ltd., Mumbai
19.	M/s. Bitra Portals Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad
20.	M/s. Lucid Software Limited, Chennai
21.	M/s. Silicon 3D Microelectronics Private Ltd., Bangalore
22.	M/s SHArP Edge Learning Pvt. Ltd., Jaipur
23.	M/s FIA Technology Services Pvt. Ltd., Haryana
24.	M/s Vi Beyond Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad
25.	M/s Sensenet Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata
26.	M/s Kritical SecureScan Pvt. Ltd., Noida
27.	M/s Biyani Institute of Commerce and Management Pvt. Ltd., Jaipur
<b>CHEMICAL / ENERGY &amp; WASTE</b>	
28.	M/s Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mangalore
29.	M/s. INTEMO Systems Limited, Hyderabad
30.	M/s. Biodiversity India (P) Ltd., Bangalore
31.	M/s Samics Research Materials Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon
32.	M/s Mint Agri Research & Development Pvt. Ltd., Pune
33.	M/s Rapsri Engineering Industries Limited, Bangalore
34.	M/s Galaxy Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Newasa, Aurangabad
35.	M/s Jyothy Laboratories Ltd., Mumbai
36.	M/s i2n Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore
37.	M/s Siechem Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Chennai



Checklist for project evaluation

Name of company: M/s Leowin Solutions Pvt. Ltd., Mangalore

Project title: "Development and Commercialization of Innovative patented and Awards Winning "MozziQuit" Mosquito Trap to eradicate various Mosquito borne Disease"

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li> <li>Project execution / Implementation plan</li> <li>Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li> </ul>	15%	7	7
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strength, track record and commitment</li> </ul>	25%	10	10
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li> <li>Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li> <li>Technical competency</li> </ul>	25%	15	15
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li> <li>Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li> </ul>	15%	5	5
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li> <li>Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li> <li>Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li> <li>Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li> </ul>	20%	10	10
			Net Score	47/100

47/100

Shortlisted/ rejected

- Reasons:
- Principles of operation not clear
  - Although concept is good, but product requires further validation
  - Debt = Equity ratio not within TDB norms (1:12)
  - Recommended for resubmission after completion of validation and infusion of fresh equity to reduce debt: equity ratio

S. Sivaram  
(Dr. S. Sivaram)

*(Signature)*  
(Dr. D. Yogeswara Rao)

*(Signature)* 30/8/12  
(Sh. V.S.R. Murthy)

Checklist for project evaluation

Name of company: M/s Biodiversity India (P) Ltd., Bangalore

Project title: "Bio-Growth Enhancer – Zed Sunbeam"

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li> <li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li> <li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li> </ul>	15%	12	1.2
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li> </ul>	25%	16	1.6
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li> <li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li> <li>• Technical competency</li> </ul>	25%	18	1.8
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li> <li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li> </ul>	15%	10	1.0
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li> <li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li> <li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li> <li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li> </ul>	20%	8	0.8
			Net Score	6.4/10

Shortlisted/ rejected

Reasons:

- Product is useful and will lead to water conservation
- No proprietary strength for the product
- Competition may emerge, but they may retain the first mover advantage
- Recommended subject to promoter floating a separate company for this business
- TDB to take less than 25% equity on par. and rest as loan (of the total requirement from TDB)

*S. Sivaram*  
(Dr. S. Sivaram)

*Aleeyu*  
(Dr. D. Yogeswara Rao)

*VSRMurtly*  
20/8/2012<sup>5</sup>  
(Sh. V.S.R. Murtly)



**Checklist for project evaluation**

Name of company: M/s INTEMO System Limited, Hyderabad

Project title: "Expansion of Infrastructure Facilities"

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li> <li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li> <li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li> </ul>	15%	12	1.2
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li> </ul>	25%	18	1.8
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li> <li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li> <li>• Technical competency</li> </ul>	25%	18	1.8
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li> <li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li> </ul>	15%	10	1.0
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li> <li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li> <li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li> <li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li> </ul>	20%	12	1.2
			Net Score	7 / 10

**Shortlisted/ rejected**

Reasons:

- Products already developed and field tested in a small way
- Products are in the priority area of the Country
- All similar products are imported and no indigenous manufacturing capability
- Products (hardware + software) fully integrated
- Efforts to obtain certification in progress
- Product expected to be lower in cost compared to imported one by at least 50%
- Proposal recommended with TDB contribution of Rs 5.0 Crores (Rs 5 Crores only) since scope exist to reduce marketing/travel expense and setting up pilot / D plant

(Dr. S. Sivaram)

(Dr. D. Yogeswara Rao)

(Sh. V.S.R. Murthy)

30/8/20

**Checklist for project evaluation**

Name of company: M/s Siechem Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Chennai

Project title: "Develop and Commercialization of High Temperature resistance Hybrid EBXL Power Cable"

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li><li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li><li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li></ul>	15%	10	1.0
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li></ul>	25%	18	1.8
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li><li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li><li>• Technical competency</li></ul>	25%	16	1.6
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li><li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li></ul>	15%	10	1.0
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li><li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li><li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li><li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li></ul>	20%	11	1.1
			Net Score	6.5 / 10

**Shortlisted/ rejected**

Reasons:


The Company is entering into new products with good markets in strategic sector


Domestic Capabilities in this area is essential


The team appears ~~to~~ competent and with the present investment capacity will double

We recommend Capital infusion of Rs 1.00 crore over a period of one year in equity.

Proposal recommended with TDB support of Rs 6.0 Crores

  
(Dr. S. Sivaram)

  
(Dr. D. Yogeswara Rao)

  
(Sh. V.S.R. Murthy)

20/8/2012



### Checklist for project evaluation

Name of company: M/s i2n Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore


Project title: "Development and commercialization of Atomic Force Microscopy"


Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li><li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li><li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li></ul>	15%		
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li></ul>	25%		
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li><li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li><li>• Technical competency</li></ul>	25%		
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li><li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li></ul>	15%		
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li><li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li><li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li><li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li></ul>	20%		
Net Score				/ 10


#### Shortlisted/ rejected

Reasons:

This project has excellent potentials and meets the important need of the country. If the AFM can be delivered at 50% cost of competing products with the same performance, Indian scientific, R+D and teaching organizations have much to gain. However, the project as proposed does not fit well within the scope of TDB funding. The project should be considered by the Instrumentation programme of DST for funding support.

  
(Dr. S. Sivaram)

  
(Dr. D. Yogeswara Rao)

  
(Sh. V.S.R. Murthy)  
30/8/2012

Checklist for project evaluation

Name of company: M/s Jyothy Laboratories Ltd., Mumbai


Project title: "MAXO MILITARY"


Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li><li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li><li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li></ul>	15%	12	1.2
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li></ul>	25%	20	2.0
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li><li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li><li>• Technical competency</li></ul>	25%	15	1.5
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li><li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li></ul>	15%	10	1.0
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li><li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li><li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li><li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li></ul>	20%	17	1.7
			Net Score	7.4/10


Shortlisted/ rejected

Reasons:

Product developed at DRDO and technology acquired by Jyothy  
Repellent has higher efficacy because of a different chemical entity.  
Product fits into the business portfolio of Jyothy Labs  
The company has an excellent portfolio of products, based on ~~total~~ in-house capabilities and has grown exceptionally well.  
Recommended a support of Rs 10.00 Crores

  
(Dr. S. Sivaram)

  
(Dr. D. Yogeswara Rao)

  
(Sh. V.S.R. Murthy)

30/8/2012



ANNEXURE

Checklist for project evaluation

**Name of company:** M/s. Ampere Vehicles Private Limited, Coimbatore

**Project title:** Indigenized development and commercialization of key components (Motor, charger, controller, DC-DC converter) of Electric vehicles

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li></ul>	25%	9.0	
2	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li><li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li><li>• Technical competency</li></ul>	25%	10.0	
3	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li><li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li></ul>	15%	9.0	
4	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li><li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li><li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li><li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li></ul>	20%	10.0	
5	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li><li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li><li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li></ul>	15%	9.5	
			Net Score	9.5 / 10


Shortlisted


Reasons:


- Technically competent, financially sound
- Green technology.

Required :

- Copy of MoU with NIT Trichi and others.
- Approval of TDB Board as the main promoter – Ampere Vehicle Pte Ltd., which is a Singapore based company holds 93.7% of the shares.

  
(Gautam Biswas)

  
(L K Maheshwari)

  
(M. V. Muthu)

**ANNEXURE**

**Checklist for project evaluation**

Name of company: M/s Zeus Numerix Pvt. Ltd., Pune

Project title: Development and commercialization of Engineering simulation products for Defence, Nuclear and Aerospace (DNA) Industry

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li></ul>	25%	8.0	
2	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Has the idea been <i>adequately</i> tested / validated?</li><li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li><li>• Technical competency</li></ul>	25%	10.0	
3	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li><li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li></ul>	15%	6.0	
4	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li><li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li><li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li><li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li></ul>	20%	7.5	
5	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li><li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li><li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li></ul>	15%	7.8	
			Net Score	7.8 / 10

**Shortlisted**

Reasons:


- Support worthy as technically and financially sound.


Advice:


- Making the products more generic and more competitive
- More focused on customer base (focus on non DNS)

Required:

- To submit shareholders agreement as collateral

  
(Gautam Biswas)

  
(L K Maheshwari)

  
(M. V. Muthu)



**CHECKLIST FOR PROJECT EVALUATION**


Name of company: M/s. AXIO Biosolutions Private Ltd., Ahmadabad

Project title: Commercialization of emergency Haemostatic dressing to control traumatic bleeding

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li> <li>Project execution / Implementation plan</li> <li>Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li> </ul>	15%	4.8	1.1
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strength, track record and commitment</li> </ul>	25%	4.5	1.5
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has the idea been <i>adequately</i> tested / validated?</li> <li>Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li> <li>Technical competency</li> </ul>	25%	5.3	1.8
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li> <li>Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li> </ul>	15%	4.3	1.1
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li> <li>Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li> <li>Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li> <li>Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li> </ul>	20%	3.5	1.0
<b>Net Score</b>			<b>22.3</b>	<b>6.5/10</b>

✓ Shortlisted / rejected

Reasons: As Annexed




(Dr. G. N. Qazi)



(Prof. D. Balasubramaniam)



(Dr. Deepanwita Chattopadhyay)



AGGARWAL  
(S. P. Aggarwal)

CHECKLIST FOR PROJECT EVALUATION

Name of company: M/s. Mir Lifescience Private Ltd, Bangalore

Project title: Commercialization of two related diagnostic tests (OncoR) for (a) Predicting response to treatment and likelihood of recurrence of head & neck cancer and (b) Screening high risk population for early detection of Head & neck cancer.

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<u>Project as a whole</u> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li><li>Project execution / Implementation plan</li><li>Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li></ul>	15%	5.6	0.8
2	<u>Promoter / Management related</u> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Strength, track record and commitment</li></ul>	25%	4.8	1.2
3	<u>Technical</u> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li><li>Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li><li>Technical competency</li></ul>	25%	7.1	1.8
4	<u>Market</u> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li><li>Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li></ul>	15%	5.7	0.9
5	<u>Financials</u> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li><li>Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li><li>Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li><li>Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li></ul>	20%	6.0	1.2
		<b>Net Score</b>	<b>29.1</b>	<b>5.9/10</b>

✓ Shortlisted / rejected

Reasons: As Annexed

(Dr. G. N. Quid)

(Prof. D. Balasubramaniam)

(Dr. Deepawita Chattopadhyay)

AGARWAL  
(S. P. Agarwal/Agarwal)



## Checklist for project evaluation

Name of company: M/s Diaonics Automation (I) P. Ltd., Mumbai

Project title: Wireless Addressable Fire Detection System with Wirefree Centralised Monitoring Station

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li> <li>Project execution / Implementation plan</li> <li>Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li> </ul>	15%	5	
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strength, track record and commitment</li> </ul>	25%	5	
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li> <li>Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li> <li>Technical competency</li> </ul>	25%	8	
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li> <li>Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li> </ul>	15%	8	
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li> <li>Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li> <li>Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li> <li>Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li> </ul>	20%	4	
			Net Score	/ 10

~~Return~~ To be short listed

Finance details to be verified / reworked particularly with regard to cost of the project, financing & fund raising as the promotion of sale / projects. The promoter has agreed to provide personal / family guarantee, mortgaging of property as pledge of shares. The project is small & relevant in 1st stage. M.D. Tiwari has not made detailed Bond

(Dr. B. D. Pradhan)

(Dr. M. D. Tiwari)

(Dr. K. C. Varshney)

ANNEXURE

Checklist for project evaluation

Name of company: **M/s. Bitra Portals Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad**

Project title: **Vehicle Information and Tracking System**

Sr No	Parameter	Weightage	Score (1-10)	Weighted average
1	<b>Project as a whole</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What's new / novel, impact (what if not scenario)</li> <li>• Project execution / Implementation plan</li> <li>• Is promoter aware of risks and prepared with plan B (broad basing fall-back options)</li> </ul>	15%	3	
2	<b>Promoter / Management related</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strength, track record and commitment</li> </ul>	25%	5	
3	<b>Technical</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has the idea been <u>adequately</u> tested / validated?</li> <li>• Soundness, scientific quality, technological merit and measurable target including technical milestones and roll-out plan</li> <li>• Technical competency</li> </ul>	25%	4	
4	<b>Market</b> (covering but not limited to) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Market attractiveness and competition mapping</li> <li>• Marketing strategy and demonstrated traction</li> </ul>	15%	5	
5	<b>Financials</b> (incl financial health of company) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial health of company, shareholding pattern</li> <li>• Ability to contribute/ raise Vs proj fund flow reqt</li> <li>• Adequacy of security / collaterals offered</li> <li>• Proj profitability and financial indicators (realistic)</li> </ul>	20%	4	
			Net Score	/ 10

*Rejected. Not within ambit of TDB financing. Unique new laptop. Fuel project need verification*

*[Signature]*  
 (Dr. B. D. Pradhan)

*[Signature]*  
 (Dr. M. D. Tiwari)

*[Signature]*  
 (Dr. K. C. Varshney)



**Reply to Point No. 12 – Details of foreign tours made by all the official of TDB**

Sl. No.	Name of the officer	Purpose of Journey	Period of journey	Expenditure (₹. In lakh)
1.	Dr. T. Ramasami did not make any foreign tour in the capacity of Chairperson, TDB and therefore, TDB did not incur any expenditure towards his journey.			
2.	Shri Sanjay Chavre, Ex- Scientist 'E'	Participation in 'India Show', Istanbul, Turkey	3 <sup>rd</sup> – 6 <sup>th</sup> February, 2011	1.27
3.	Shri Rajiv Srivastava, Ex- Deputy Legal Advisor	Participation in India Show, Toronto, Canada	17 <sup>th</sup> to 20 <sup>th</sup> October, 2011	1.69
4.	Dr. P.S. Raju, ex- Scientist 'E'	Participation in Global Entrepreneurship Leadership Symposium, California	7 <sup>th</sup> - 11 <sup>th</sup> November, 2011	0.44

187

Form for giving intimation or seeking previous sanction under Rule 18 (3) for transaction in respect of movable property (G.I., Dept. of Per. & Trg., O.M. No. 11013/11/85/Estt. (A), dated the 23rd June, 80]

1. Name of the Government servant ... HK MITTAL.
2. Scale of pay and present pay ... G.P - 10,000.
3. Purpose of application — Sanction for transaction/intimation of transaction ... Purchase of Vehicle
4. Whether property is being acquired or disposed of ...
5. (a) Probable date of acquisition or disposal of property ... Acquired on 18/09/18/09/12.
- (b) If the property is already acquired/disposed of — Actual date of transaction ...
6. (a) Description of the property (e.g., Car/Scooter/Motor Cycle/Refrigerator/Radio/Radiogram / Jewellery / Loans / Insurance Policies, etc.) ... Car.
- (b) Make, model (and also Registration No. in case of vehicles), where necessary ... BMW XI.  
DL 6CM 2756.
7. Mode of acquisition/disposal (purchase/sale, gift, mortgage, lease or otherwise) ... PURCHASE.
8. Sale/purchase price of the property (Market value in the case of gifts) ... Rs. 2605128/-
9. In case of acquisition, source or sources from which financed/proposed to be financed—
- (a) Personal savings ...
- (b) Other sources giving details ...
10. In the case of disposal of property, was requisite sanction/intimation obtained/given for its acquisition (a copy of the sanction/acknowledgement should be attached) ...
11. (a) Name and address of the party with whom transaction is proposed to be made/has been made ...
- (b) Is the party related to the applicant? If so, state the relationship ...

M/s. Bird Automobiles  
Personal Saving — Rs  
+ loan

Savings. Rs. 6 lakh  
Details Rs. in lakhs:  
Union Bank 17.00  
ICICI Bank 2.95  
Cash 0.10  
20.05

NA



178

c) Did the applicant have any dealings with the party in his official capacity at any time; or is the applicant likely to have any dealings with him in the near future?

No

d) Nature of official dealings with the party.

N. A

e) How was the transaction arranged? (Whether through any statutory body or a private agency: through advertisements or through friends and relatives. Full particulars to be given).

Through Advertisement

12. In the case of acquisition by gifts, whether sanction is also required under Rule 13 of the CCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

N A

13. Any other relevant fact which the applicant may like to mention.

DECLARATION

I, Harbhaj Kumar Mittal hereby declare that the particulars given above are true. I request that I may be given permission to acquire/dispose of property as described above from/to the party whose name is mentioned in item 11 above.

OR

I, Harbhaj Kumar Mittal hereby intimate the acquisition/disposal of property by me as detailed above. I declare that the particulars given above are true.

Station: New Delhi

Signature. A Mittal

Date: 5/10/12

Designation:- Sc. G.

NOTE: 1. In the above form, different portions may be used according to requirement.

2. Where previous sanction is asked for, the application should be submitted at least 30 days before the proposed date of the transaction.